Pacific Luncy

HSA: TBW

April 13, 1956

Dr. Paul Zignam U. S. Maval Radiological Defense Laboratory San Francisco 24, California

Dear Dr. Zigmanı

The last group of samples from the second Rongelap re-survey which we received recently, included several inconsistencies with the data which accompanied it. We received samples of eccount numbered 573, 575, and 577. I am assuming that these are identical with the samples from Eniatok numbered 572, 574, and 576. We have a sample numbered 757, which is a water sample, and according to your data sheet, which is listed as arrowroot, from Uterik. We have a soil sample numbered 655, which I do not find in your list. Sample number 588 (pandamus) does not appear on your list. Sample number 790, also pandamus, was duplicated. On this sample, can you give me any information as to which is number 790, and what the other bag should have been numbered.

If you would be kind enough to check your master sample list and straighten out these inconsistencies, I would certainly appreciate it. I am holding these samples for processing until I hear from your.

Sincerely yours,

Ira B. Whitney, Assistant Chief Analytical Branch Health and Safety Laboratory

H&S: Analytical

Whitney:gft

4/13/56

5002361